RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC. 4. 2006 11:13AM

O II: IDAM HAKNESS DICKEY PIERCE DEC 0 4 2006 NO. 583 SB/21 (...)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0331

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1996, no persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

		Application Number	ər'	10/673,296			
TRANSMITTAL FORM		Filing Date		September 29, 2003			
		First Named Inventor		Michael Mittelstein, et al.			
		Art Unit		3746	<u> </u>		
		Examiner Name		Emmanuel Sayoc			
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filling) Total Number of Pages In This Submission 4		Attorney Docket Number		P03115 (6639-000035/US)			
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC			
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
Amendment / Reply	Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)			
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietzry Information			
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter			
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):			
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)						
Information Disclosure Statement	Landscape Table on CD						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks						
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53	The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 08-0750.						
SIG	NATURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTO	DRNEY, O	R AGENT			
Firm Haress, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.					·		
Signature		1 min					
Printed Name Michael L. Smith							
Date December 4, 20		006	Reg. No.	35,685			
		TE OF TRANSMIS					
I hereby certify that this corresponde Service with sufficient postage as f Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the da	irst class mail	in an envelope addin	the USPTO	O or deposited Commissioner	with the United States Postal for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,		
Typed or printed name Michael L. Sgith				Express Mail Label No.			
Signature			Date	December 4, 2006			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR-15. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commence, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

DEC 0 4 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of: Mittelstein, et al.))
Serial No.: 10/673,296	,)) Group No.: 3746
Filed: September 29, 2003)) Docket No: P03115
Title: PERISTALTIC PUMP WITH MOVI	,

Reply to Examiner's Answer

Mall Stop Appeal Brief – Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Answer regarding the Amended Appeal Brief submitted August 31, 2006.

The Examiner states that Steppe, et al. teaches a pump head that translates towards the backing plate as specifically required by all the claims. This simply is not true. The pump head of Steppe is simply spring biased on mounting plate 76. The pump head cannot move towards the backing plate but rather only moves with the backing plate of the cassette as the cassette is loaded or unloaded. So as the cassette is loaded the pump head moves on plate 76 in the same direction as the cassette/backing plate; and when the cassette is unloaded the pump head on plate 76 then moves with the cassette/backing plate in the same direction. This is in contrast to the present invention that specifically claims that the pump head moves away from the

(S/N: 10/673,296)

backing plate. The Examiner tries to counter this fact with the argument that "... it can be viewed that either the backing plate is moving toward the pump head, relative the pump head, or the pump head is moving toward the backing plate, relative to the backing plate. This same line of reasoning is applicable in both directions, upon insertion and removal of the backing plate." The Examiner's reasoning is faulty. As pointed out above, the pump head of Steppe does not move except when pushed against by the cassette/backing plate being inserted into the slot 26. Therefore, the pump head of Steppe only moves in concert with the backing plate and does not move, as claimed in the present invention away from the backing plate.

The Examiner states that once the cassette is loaded into the pump of Steppe that the backing plate is then attached to the housing. This is not in anyway the same as the attachment of the present invention. In addition, once the cassette is loaded the pump head of Steppe does not move at all relative to the backing plate, which then does not meet the claim limitations of the present invention. This is because as pointed out above the pump head of Steppe only moves when being the cassette is loaded or unloaded. The Examiner states that the pump head is "moveable" but this does not meet the limitation of the present invention that requires the pump head to actually move relative to the backing plate.

The Examiner stretches reason in finding a drawer in Steppe. The Examiner attempts to define the cassette 20 itself as a drawer by stating that the housing 28 and an edge 36 of the housing form a drawer. If the cassette is a drawer then Steppe does not teach a cartridge as claimed. The cassette 20 of Steppe cannot be both the cartridge as claimed in the present invention and the drawer that is claimed. Claim 4 of

(S/N: 10/673,296)

DEC. 4. 2006 11:13AM HARNESS DICKEY PIERCE

NO. 583 P. 4

the present invention requires a drawer that holds the cartridge and wherein the drawer moves. If the cassette 20 is a drawer then where is the cartridge and if the cassette is the cartridge then where is the drawer? The Examiner's logic simply does not hold up. If the Examiner were to argue that the cassette is both the drawer and the cartridge of the present invention then the limitation that the drawer holds the cartridge cannot be met.

For the reasons set forth above and in the appeal brief the pending claims 1-4 are allowable over the cited prior art.

Respectfully, submitted,

Michael L. Smith Reg. No. 35,685

DATE: December 4, 2006

BAUSCH & LOMB INCORPORATED One Bausch & Lomb Place Rochester, New York 14604-2701

TEL: 636-226-3197